

# Public Disclosure of Student Learning Form

institution:	EU Business School					
Academic Business Unit:	Swiss Campuses					
Academic Year:	2013-14					

International Assembly for Collegiate Business Education 11374 Strang Line Road Lenexa, Kansas 66215 USA

## Report of Student Learning and Achievement

# EU Business School Swiss Campuses

For Academic Year: 2013-14

#### Mission of the Name of your Academic Business Unit

**Mission Statement** 

EU Business School's mission is to contribute to the global community by providing comprehensive and in-depth international business education to an inspired, culturally diverse student body which is prepared to effectively deal with current business issues.

#### Section I: Student Learning Assessment

European University - Switzerland
Student Learning Assessment for BBA, BA Communications and Public Relations, BA International Relations (70%) shared core business courses
General Program Intended Student Learning Outcomes (General Program ISLOs)
1. Program Learning Outcome 1 Students will apply theoretical knowledge to practical situations.
2. Program Learning Outcome 2 Students will develop analytical skills
3. Program Learning Outcome 3 Oral and written communications skills will be shown
4. Program Learning Outcome 4 Students will develop diagnostic skills
Intended Student Learning Outcomes: BBA
1. Intended Learning Outcome 1 To analyze issues facing multinationals, companies and structures when involved in change management
2. Intended Learning Outcome 2 To demonstrate understanding of the analysis, decision making and implementation issues of managing a service.
Intended Student Learning Outcomes: Communications and Public Relations
1. Intended Learning Outcome 1 To gain understanding of the field of public relations and to be able to devise basic communications strategies.
2. Intended Learning Outcome 2 To show an understanding of PR major theories and their applicability.

Intended Student Learning	Outcomes: International Relations
1. Intended Learning Outcome 1 To identify the theoretical tools necess	sary to understand the international system.
2. Intended Learning Outcome 2 To analyze how and why diplomacy is	conducted.
Assessment Instruments for Intended Student Learning Outcomes— Direct Measures of Student Learning:	Performance Objectives (Targets/Criteria) for Direct Measures:
<ol> <li>End-of Program Comprehensive Final Mgt/Mkting Case-study and Oral Defense Core ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 1, 2, 3, 4</li> </ol>	On the case study evaluation rubric, at least 80% of graduating students will score at least 70% (GPA 1.7) in each evaluation dimension related to each of the core ISLOs assessed by this measure
2. Comprehensive Thesis and Oral Defense Core ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 1, 2, 3, 4	On the thesis evaluation rubric, at least 80% of graduating students will score at least 70% (GPA 1.7) in each evaluation dimension related to each of the core ISLOs assessed by this measure
<ol> <li>Required Cases in (title depending on concentration)</li> <li>Comm &amp; PR Concentration ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 1,2</li> <li>IR concentration Assessed by this Measure: 1,2</li> </ol>	On the case study evaluation rubric, at least 80% of graduating students will score at least 70% (GPA 1.7) in each evaluation dimension related to each of the core ISLOs assessed by this measure
<ul> <li>4. Required Management in Services Industry Course Examination</li> <li>BBA concentration ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 2</li> </ul>	On the subset of questions related to the ISLOs, at least 80% of graduating students will score at least 70%
5. Required Change Management Course Examination BBA concentration ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 1	On the subset of questions related to the ISLOs, at least 80% of graduating students will score at least 70%
Assessment Instruments for Intended Student Learning Outcomes— Indirect Measures of Student Learning:	Performance Objectives (Targets/Criteria) for Indirect Measures:
<ol> <li>Exit Survey         Core ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 1, 2, 3, 4         Comm. &amp; PR Concentration ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 1,2         IR concentration Assessed by this Measure: 1,2         BBA concentration ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 1, 2     </li> </ol>	At least 80% of graduating students will indicate they will indicate they perceive themselves to be average or above in each of the evaluation criterion related to the ISLOs
2. Comprehensive Thesis Defense Core ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 3	On the case study evaluation rubric, at least 80% of graduating students will score at least 70% (GPA 1.7) in each evaluation dimension related to questions and answers

3. Indirect Measure 3		Objective (Target/Criterion) for Indirect Measure 3
General Program ISLOs Assessed by this Measure	e: Outcomes List	
Major, Concentration, Specialization, Emphasis, G Assessed by this Measure: Outcomes List	Option, Track ISLOs	
4. Indirect Measure 4		<i>Objective (Target/Criterion) for Indirect Measure 4</i>
General Program ISLOs Assessed by this Measure	e: Outcomes List	
Major, Concentration, Specialization, Emphasis, G Assessed by this Measure: Outcomes List	Option, Track ISLOs	
Assessment Results: Program 1 и	vith a Major, Concen	tration, Specialization, Emphasis, Option, or Track in Area 1
Summary of Results from Implementing Direct Ma	easures of Student L	earning:
1 End-of Program Comprehensive Final Mgt/Mkti	ing Case-study and O	ral Defense
<ul> <li>Percentage of students achieving a subscore of Application of theory to practice (Core ISLO 1) Analytical skills (Core ISLO 2) Oral Skills (Core ISLO 3) Written skills (Core ISLO 3) Diagnostic Skills (Core ISLO 4)</li> </ul>	70% or higher 94.5% 94.5% 94.5% 94.5% 94.5%	
2 Comprehensive Thesis and Defense		
Percentage of students achieving a subscore of	70% or higher	
Application of theory to practice (Core ISLO 1)	85%	
Analytical skills (Core ISLO 2) Oral Skills (Core ISLO 3)	85% 86%	
Written skills (Core ISLO 3)	83%	
Diagnostic Skills (Core ISLO 4)	83%	
3 Summary of Results for Direct Measure 3		
4 Summary of Results for Direct Measure 4		
Summary of Results from Implementing Indirect I	Measures of Student	Learning:

<ol> <li>Exit Survey</li> <li>Percentage of students achieving a su Application of theory to practice (Cor Analytical skills (Core ISLO 2) Oral Skills (Core ISLO 3) Written skills (Core ISLO 3)</li> </ol>		rageor higher 100% 100% 100% 100%						
Diagnostic Skills (Core ISLO 4)		100%						
1 Comprehensive Thesis Defense Core ISLOs Assessed by this Measure	: 3 8	6%						
3 Summary of Results for Indirect Meas	sure 3							
Summary of Results for Indirect Meas     .	sure 4							
Summary of Achievement of Intended S	tudent Learni	ng Outcomes	:					
Intended Student Learning Outcomes			Le	arning Assess	ment Measur	es		
General Program ISLOs	Comprehensi ve Final Case	Comprehensi ve Thesis	Direct Measure 3	Direct Measure 4	Exit Survey	Conprehensi ve thesis defense	Indirect Measure 3	Indirect Measure 4
	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was
1. Program Learning Outcome 1	Met	Met			Met			
2. Program Learning Outcome 2	Met	Met			Met			
3. Program Learning Outcome 3	Met	Met			Met	Met		
4. Program Learning Outcome 4	Met	Met			Met			
5. Program Learning Outcome 5								
	Direct Measure 1	Direct Measure 2	Direct Measure 3	Direct Measure 4	Indirect Measure 1	Indirect Measure 2	Indirect Measure 3	Indirect Measure 4
	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was

1.	Intended Learning Outcome 1									
2.	Intended Learning Outcome 2									
3.	Intended Learning Outcome 3									
Pr	Proposed Courses of Action for Improvement in Learning Outcomes for which Performance Targets Were Not Met:									
1.	<ol> <li>The performance targets were all met. However, faculty expressed concern that 70% (GPA 1.7) was not a sufficiently demanding benchmark to "stretch" expectations and achievements. For subsequent analyses, the target will be raised to 75% (GPA 2) (a/y 14-15) with an aim to raise the outcome to 80%. Students are now required to work with a promoter for their thesis (this was previously optional).</li> </ol>									
2.	2. The performance targets on the exit survey have been met. However, management and faculty believe that the benchmark should be raised to good or above									
3.	Course of Action 3									
4.	Course of Action 4									

### Section I: Student Learning Assessment

European University - Switzerland	
Student Learning Assessment for Master's in Business Administration with Concentrations in International Business, Global Finance a Communications & Public Relations	ind
General Program Intended Student Learning Outcomes (General Program ISLOs)	
1. Program Learning Outcome 1 Students will demonstrate analytical and diagnostic skills	
2. Program Learning Outcome 2 Students will demonstrate scholarly writing skills and conceptual skills.	
3. Program Learning Outcome 3 Students will demonstrate understanding of the interaction of different management functions, the nature o management as a process, the changing nature of the external environment.	f
Intended Student Learning Outcomes: International Business ISLOs	
1. Intended Learning Outcome 1 To analyze new business models and competitive marketing strategies and assess how they are re-shaping he businesses compete globally.	)W
2. Intended Learning Outcome 2 To demonstrate understanding of the importance of international finance	
3. Intended Learning Outcome 3 To use and apply appropriate technology methods to manage projects.	

Intended Student Learni	ng Outcomes: Global Finance ISLOs				
1. Intended Learning Outcome 1 To abstract from simple problems and	to formulate a financial model which summarizes a given business situation				
2. Intended Learning Outcome 2 To demonstrate understanding of the	importance of international finance.				
3. Intended Learning Outcome 3 To analyze the various structures of M	&A.				
Intended Student Learning Outcome	s: Communications and Public Relations ISLOs				
1. Intended Learning Outcome 1 To demonstrate theoretical knowledg	e to enable them to play a managerial role, contributing to decision making.				
2. Intended Learning Outcome 2 To design effective messages for speci	fic audiences.				
3. Intended Learning Outcome 3 To develop a Media Mix for a given br	and.				
Assessment Instruments for Intended Student Learning Outcomes— Direct Measures of Student Learning:	Performance Objectives (Targets/Criteria) for Direct Measures:				
1. Comprehensive Capstone thesis and Oral Defense	On the capstone evaluation rubric, at least 80% of graduating students will				
General Program ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 1,2,3	score at least 83% (GPA 3) in each evaluation dimension related to each of the core ISLOs assessed by this measure				
IB concentration ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 3	the core is cos assessed by this measure				
2. Required Strategic Management Course: Case study	On the strategic management comprehensive case, at least 80% of				
General Program ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 1,2,3,	graduating students will score at least 83% (GPA 3) in each evaluation dimension related to each of the core ISLOs assessed by this measure				
Comm & PR concentration ISLOs Assessed by this measure: 1					
3. IB required course: Business Policy and Strategic Management	Objective (Target/Criterion) for Direct Measure 3				
IB concentration ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 1					
4. IB required course: International Business	Objective (Target/Criterion) for Direct Measure 4				
IB concentration ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 2					
5. Global Finance required course: Advanced Finance					
IB concentration ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 1,2,3,					
6.Comm & PR required course: Mass Media Planning & Media Law					
Comm & PR concentration ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 2, 3					
Assessment Instruments for Intended Student Learning Outcomes-	Performance Objectives (Targets/Criteria) for Indirect Measures:				
ACBE Public Disclosure of Student Learning Form					

Indirect Measures of Student Learning:	
<ul> <li>11. Exit Survey</li> <li>Core ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 1, 2, 3,</li> <li>Comm. &amp; PR Concentration ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 1,2,3</li> <li>IB concentration ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 1,2,3</li> <li>Global Finance concentration ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 2</li> </ul>	At least 80% of graduating students will indicate they will indicate they perceive themselves to be average or above in each of the evaluation criterion related to the ISLOs
2. Indirect Measure 2	Objective (Target/Criterion) for Indirect Measure 2
General Program ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: Outcomes List Major, Concentration, Specialization, Emphasis, Option, Track ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: Outcomes List	
3. Indirect Measure 3	Objective (Target/Criterion) for Indirect Measure 3
General Program ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: Outcomes List	
Major, Concentration, Specialization, Emphasis, Option, Track ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: Outcomes List	
4. Indirect Measure 4	Objective (Target/Criterion) for Indirect Measure 4
General Program ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: Outcomes List	
<i>Major, Concentration, Specialization, Emphasis, Option, Track</i> ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: <i>Outcomes List</i>	
Assessment Results: Program 1 with a Major, Concen	tration, Specialization, Emphasis, Option, or Track in Area 1
Summary of Results from Implementing Direct Measures of Student Le	earning:
1 Comprehensive Capstone and Defense	
Percentage of students achieving a subscore of 83% or higher	
Diagnostic skills (Core ISLO 1) 34%	
Analytical skills (Core ISLO 1) 37%	
Writing Skills (Core ISLO 2)35%Conceptual skills skills (Core ISLO 2)37%	
Understanding of Mgt functions (Core ISLO 3) 39%	

Intended Student Learning Outcomes	Learning Assessment Measures
Summary of Achievement of Intended Student L	earning Outcomes:
4 Summary of Results for Indirect Measure 4 .	
3 Summary of Results for Indirect Measure 3 .	
2 Summary of Results for Indirect Measure 2	
Understanding of Mgt functions (Core ISLO 3)	100%
Conceptual skills skills (Core ISLO 2)	100%
Writing Skills (Core ISLO 2)	100%
Diagnostic skills (Core ISLO 1) Analytical skills (Core ISLO 1)	100% 100%
Percentage of students achieving a subscore c	of 80% or higher
1 Exit Survey	
Summary of Results from Implementing Indirect	t Measures of Student Learning:
4 Summary of Results for Direct Measure 4	
3 Summary of Results for Direct Measure 3	
Understanding of Mgt functions (Core ISLO 3)	61%
Conceptual skills skills (Core ISLO 2)	61%
Analytical skills (Core ISLO 1) Writing Skills (Core ISLO 2)	61% 61%
Diagnostic skills (Core ISLO 1)	61%
Percentage of students achieving a subscore c	

	Direct Measure 1	Direct Measure 2	Direct Measure 3	Direct Measure 4	Indirect Measure 1	Indirect Measure 2	Indirect Measure 3	Indirect Measure 4
General Program ISLOs	Performance Target Was							
1. Program Learning Outcome 1	Not met	Not met						
2. Program Learning Outcome 2	Not met	Not met						
3. Program Learning Outcome 3	Not met	Not met						
4. Program Learning Outcome 4	Not met	Not met						
5. Program Learning Outcome 5	Not met	Not met						
Intended Student Learning Outcomes: Major, Concentration, Specialization,	Direct Measure 1	Direct Measure 2	Direct Measure 3	Direct Measure 4	Indirect Measure 1	Indirect Measure 2	Indirect Measure 3	Indirect Measure 4
Emphasis, Option, or Track in Area 1	Performance Target Was							
1. Intended Learning Outcome 1								
2. Intended Learning Outcome 2								
3. Intended Learning Outcome 3								
Assessment Results: Pr	ogram 1 with	a Major, Con	centration, Sp	pecialization,	Emphasis, Op	tion, or Track	in Area 2	
Summary of Results from Implementing	g Direct Measu	ures of Studer	nt Learning:					
1. Summary of Results for Direct Measu	ıre 1							
2. Summary of Results for Direct Measu	ıre 2							
3. Summary of Results for Direct Measu	ıre 3							
4. Summary of Results for Direct Measu	ıre 4							
Summary of Results from Implementing	Indirect Mea	sures of Stud	ent Learning:					
1. Summary of Results for Indirect Mea	sure 1							
2. Summary of Results for Indirect Mea	sure 2							
3. Summary of Results for Indirect Mea	sure 3							
4. Summary of Results for Indirect Mea	sure 4							

Intended Student Learning Outcomes	Learning Assessment Measures								
General Program ISLOs	Direct Measure 1	Direct Measure 2	Direct Measure 3	Direct Measure 4	Indirect Measure 1	Indirect Measure 2	Indirect Measure 3	Indirect Measure 4	
	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	
1. Program Learning Outcome 1									
2. Program Learning Outcome 2									
3. Program Learning Outcome 3									
4. Program Learning Outcome 4									
5. Program Learning Outcome 5									
Intended Student Learning Outcomes:	Direct Measure 1	Direct Measure 2	Direct Measure 3	Direct Measure 4	Indirect Measure 1	Indirect Measure 2	Indirect Measure 3	Indirect Measure 4	
Major, Concentration, Specialization, Emphasis, Option, or Track in Area 2	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	
1. Intended Learning Outcome 1									
2. Intended Learning Outcome 2									
3. Intended Learning Outcome 3									
Proposed Courses of Action for Improve	ment in Lear	ning Outcome	s for which Pe	erformance Ta	argets Were N	lot Met:			
1. An Academic Dean has been recruite seminars have been introduced, all r					ubrics have b	een reviewed	and updated,	further	
2. Rubrics have been reviewed by senio	or faculty and	updated to er	nphasize more	e rigor					
3. Additional seminars have been intro	duced								
4. All students must have a promoter a	nd also consu	lt with the Sw	iss academic o	lean					
5. All students must participate in a we	b-based busin	ess simulatio	n						
6. The performance targets on the exit good or above	survey have b	een met. Hov	vever, manage	ement and fac	ulty believe th	hat the bench	mark should b	e raised to	