I



Public Disclosure of Student Learning Form

nstitution:	EU Business School
Academic Business Unit:	Swiss Campuses
Academic Year:	2014-15

International Assembly for Collegiate Business Education 11374 Strang Line Road Lenexa, Kansas 66215 USA

Report of Student Learning and Achievement

EU Business School Swiss Campuses

For Academic Year: 2014-15

Mission of the Name of your Academic Business Unit

Mission Statement

EU Business School's mission is to contribute to the global community by providing comprehensive and in-depth international business education to an inspired, culturally diverse student body which is prepared to effectively deal with current business issues.

Section I: Student Learning Assessment

European University - Switzerland						
Student Learning Assessment for BBA, BA Communications and Public Relations, BA International Relations (70%) shared core business courses						
General Program Intended Student Learning Outcomes (General Program ISLOs)						
1. Program Learning Outcome 1 Students will apply theoretical knowledge to practical situations.						
2. Program Learning Outcome 2 Students will develop analytical skills						
3. Program Learning Outcome 3 Oral and written communications skills will be shown						
4. Program Learning Outcome 4 Students will develop diagnostic skills						
Intended Student Learning Outcomes: BBA						
1. Intended Learning Outcome 1 To analyze issues facing multinationals, companies and structures when involved in change management						
2. Intended Learning Outcome 2 To demonstrate understanding of the analysis, decision making and implementation issues of managing a service.						
Intended Student Learning Outcomes: Communications and Public Relations						
1. Intended Learning Outcome 1 To gain understanding of the field of public relations and to be able to devise basic communications strategies.						
2. Intended Learning Outcome 2 To show an understanding of PR major theories and their applicability.						

Intended Student Learning	Outcomes: International Relations				
1. Intended Learning Outcome 1 To identify the theoretical tools necess	sary to understand the international system.				
2. Intended Learning Outcome 2 To analyze how and why diplomacy is	conducted.				
Assessment Instruments for Intended Student Learning Outcomes— Direct Measures of Student Learning:	Performance Objectives (Targets/Criteria) for Direct Measures:				
 End-of Program Comprehensive Final Mgt/Mkting Case-study and Oral Defense Core ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 1, 2, 3, 4 	On the case study evaluation rubric, at least 80% of graduating students will score at least 75% (GPA 1.7) in each evaluation dimension related to each of the core ISLOs assessed by this measure				
2. Comprehensive Thesis and Oral Defense Core ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 1, 2, 3, 4	On the thesis evaluation rubric, at least 80% of graduating students will score at least 75% (GPA 1.7) in each evaluation dimension related to each of the core ISLOs assessed by this measure				
 3. Required Cases in (title depending on concentration) Comm & PR Concentration ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 1,2 IR concentration Assessed by this Measure: 1,2 Cases in Marketing: 97.37% Cases in Finance: 91.18% Cases in Public Relations: 50% Cases in International Relations: 88.89% 	On the case study evaluation rubric, at least 80% of graduating students will score at least 75% (GPA 1.7) in each evaluation dimension related to each of the core ISLOs assessed by this measure				
4. Required Management in Services Industry Course Examination BBA concentration ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 2 SERVICE MANAGEMENT: 96.55%	On the subset of questions related to the ISLOs, at least 80% of graduating students will score at least 75%				
 Required Change Management Course Examination BBA concentration ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 1 CHANGE MANAGEMENT: 72% 	On the subset of questions related to the ISLOs, at least 80% of graduating students will score at least 75%				
Assessment Instruments for Intended Student Learning Outcomes— Indirect Measures of Student Learning:	Performance Objectives (Targets/Criteria) for Indirect Measures:				
 Exit Survey Core ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 1, 2, 3, 4 Comm. & PR Concentration ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 1,2 	At least 80% of graduating students will indicate they will indicate they perceive themselves to be average or above in each of the evaluation criterion related to the ISLOs				

IR concentration Assessed by this Measure: 1,	2					
BBA concentration ISLOs Assessed by this Mea	asure: 1, 2					
2. Comprehensive Thesis Defense		On the case study evaluation rubric, at least 80% of graduating students will				
Core ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 3		score at least 75% in each evaluation dimension related to questions and answers				
3. Indirect Measure 3		Objective (Target/Criterion) for Indirect Measure 3				
General Program ISLOs Assessed by this Meas	ure: Outcomes List					
Major, Concentration, Specialization, Emphasi Assessed by this Measure: Outcomes List	s, Option, Track ISLOs					
4. Indirect Measure 4		Objective (Target/Criterion) for Indirect Measure 4				
General Program ISLOs Assessed by this Meas	ure: Outcomes List					
Major, Concentration, Specialization, Emphasi Assessed by this Measure: Outcomes List	s, Option, Track ISLOs					
Assessment Results: Program :	1 with a Major, Concer	ntration, Specialization, Emphasis, Option, or Track in Area 1				
Summary of Results from Implementing Direct	Measures of Student I	earning:				
1 End-of Program Comprehensive Final Mgt/M	kting Case-study and C	Dral Defense				
· Percentage of students achieving a subscore	of 75% or higher					
Application of theory to practice (Core ISLO 1	.) 79.95%					
Analytical skills (Core ISLO 2)	<mark>85.71%</mark>					
Oral Skills (Core ISLO 3)	88.24%					
Written skills (Core ISLO 3)	93.75%					
Diagnostic Skills (Core ISLO 4)	85.71%					
2 Comprehensive Thesis and Defense						
Percentage of students achieving a subscore	of 75% or higher					
Application of theory to practice (Core ISLO 1						
Analytical skills (Core ISLO 2)	86.89%					
Oral Skills (Core ISLO 3)	96.72%					
Written skills (Core ISLO 3)	98.36%					
Diagnostic Skills (Core ISLO 4)	95.08%					

IACBE Public Disclosure of Student Learning Form

3 Summary of Results for Direct Measu	re 3							
4 Summary of Results for Direct Measu	re 4							
· Summary of Results from Implementing	Indirect Me	asures of Stud	ent Learning					
1 Exit Survey								
 Percentage of students grading "Goo 	d" and "Excell	ent"						
Application of theory to practice (Cor Analytical skills (Core ISLO 2) Oral Skills (Core ISLO 3) Written skills (Core ISLO 3) Diagnostic Skills (Core ISLO 4)		71.43 % 100.00 % 100.00 % 100.00 % 71.43. %						
1 Comprehensive Thesis Defense Core ISLOs Assessed by this Measure		36%						
3 Summary of Results for Indirect Meas	sure 3							
4 Summary of Results for Indirect Meas	sure 4							
Summary of Achievement of Intended S	tudent Learni	ing Outcomes	:					
Intended Student Learning Outcomes			Le	arning Assess	ment Measur	es		
General Program ISLOs	Comprehensi ve Final Case	Comprehensi ve Thesis	Direct Measure 3	Direct Measure 4	Exit Survey	Conprehensi ve thesis defense	Indirect Measure 3	Indirect Measure 4
	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was
1. Program Learning Outcome 1	Met	Met			Not Met			
2. Program Learning Outcome 2	Met	Met			Met			
3. Program Learning Outcome 3	Met	Met			Met	Met		
4. Program Learning Outcome 4	Met	Met			Not Met			

IACBE Public Disclosure of Student Learning Form

Intended Student Learning Outcomes			Le	arning Assess	sment Measu	res		
Comm & PR Major ISLOs	Comprehensi ve Final Case	Comprehensi ve Thesis	Direct Measure 3	Direct Measure 4	Exit Survey	Conprehensi ve thesis defense	Indirect Measure 3	Indirect Measure 4
	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was
1. Intended Learning Outcome 1			Met	Met				
2. Intended Learning Outcome 2			Met	Met				
IR Major Outcomes	Direct Measure 1	Direct Measure 2	Direct Measure 3	Direct Measure 4	Indirect Measure 1	Indirect Measure 2	Indirect Measure 3	Indirect Measure 4
	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was	Performance Target Was
1. Intended Learning Outcome 1			Met	Met				
2. Intended Learning Outcome 2			Met	Met				
Proposed Courses of Action for Improv	Proposed Courses of Action for Improvement in Learning Outcomes for which Performance Targets Were Not Met:							
1.								
2.								

Section I: Student Learning Assessment

European Univ	versity - Switzerland							
Student Learning Assessment for Master's in Business Administration with Concentrations in International Business, Global Finance and Communications & Public Relations								
General Program Intended Student L	earning Outcomes (General Program ISLOs)							
1. Program Learning Outcome 1 Students will demonstrate analytical an	d diagnostic skills							
2. Program Learning Outcome 2 Students will demonstrate scholarly wri	ting skills and conceptual skills.							
3. Program Learning Outcome 3 Students will demonstrate understandin management as a process, the changing nature of the external enviro								
Intended Student Learning Out	tcomes: International Business ISLOs							
 Intended Learning Outcome 1 To analyze new business models and co businesses compete globally. 	mpetitive marketing strategies and assess how they are re-shaping how							
2. Intended Learning Outcome 2 To demonstrate understanding of the in	nportance of international finance							
3. Intended Learning Outcome 3 To use and apply appropriate technolog								
Intended Student Learnin	g Outcomes: Global Finance ISLOs							
	o formulate a financial model which summarizes a given business situation							
2. Intended Learning Outcome 2 To demonstrate understanding of the in	nportance of international finance.							
3. Intended Learning Outcome 3 To analyze the various structures of M8	λΑ.							
Intended Student Learning Outcomes:	Communications and Public Relations ISLOs							
1. Intended Learning Outcome 1 To demonstrate theoretical knowledge	to enable them to play a managerial role, contributing to decision making.							
2. Intended Learning Outcome 2 To design effective messages for specifi	c audiences.							
3. Intended Learning Outcome 3 To develop a Media Mix for a given brai	nd.							
Assessment Instruments for Intended Student Learning Outcomes— Direct Measures of Student Learning:	Performance Objectives (Targets/Criteria) for Direct Measures:							
1. Comprehensive Capstone thesis and Oral Defense								

 11. Exit Survey Core ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 1, 2, 3, Comm. & PR Concentration ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 1,2,3 IB concentration ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 1,2,3 Global Finance concentration ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 2 	At least 80% of graduating students will indicate they will indicate they perceive themselves to be average or above in each of the evaluation criterion related to the ISLOs
Assessment Instruments for Intended Student Learning Outcomes— Indirect Measures of Student Learning:	Performance Objectives (Targets/Criteria) for Indirect Measures:
6.Comm & PR required course: Mass Media Planning & Media Law Comm & PR concentration ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 2, 3	On the subset of questions related to the ISLOs, at least 80% of graduating students will score at least 83%
5. Global Finance required course: Advanced Finance IB concentration ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 1,2,3,	On the subset of questions related to the ISLOs, at least 80% of graduating students will score at least 83%
4. IB required course: International BusinessIB concentration ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 2	On the subset of questions related to the ISLOs, at least 80% of graduating students will score at least 83%
3. IB required course: Business Policy and Strategic Management IB concentration ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 1	On the subset of questions related to the ISLOs, at least 80% of graduating students will score at least 83%
 Required Strategic Management Course: Case study General Program ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 1,2,3, Comm & PR concentration ISLOs Assessed by this measure: 1 	On the strategic management comprehensive case, at least 80% of graduating students will score at least 83% (GPA 3) in each evaluation dimension related to each of the core ISLOs assessed by this measure
General Program ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 1,2,3 IB concentration ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: 3	On the capstone evaluation rubric, at least 80% of graduating students will score at least 83% (GPA 3) in each evaluation dimension related to each of the core ISLOs assessed by this measure

2. Indirect Measure 2		Objective (Target/Criterion) for Indirect Measure 2
General Program ISLOs Assessed by this Measure: Major, Concentration, Specialization, Emphasis, O Assessed by this Measure: Outcomes List		
3. Indirect Measure 3		Objective (Target/Criterion) for Indirect Measure 3
General Program ISLOs Assessed by this Measure:	Outcomes List	
Major, Concentration, Specialization, Emphasis, O Assessed by this Measure: Outcomes List	ption, Track ISLOs	
4. Indirect Measure 4		Objective (Target/Criterion) for Indirect Measure 4
General Program ISLOs Assessed by this Measure:	Outcomes List	
Major, Concentration, Specialization, Emphasis, O Assessed by this Measure: Outcomes List	ption, Track ISLOs	
Assessment Results: Program 1 w	ith a Major, Concer	ntration, Specialization, Emphasis, Option, or Track in Area 1
Summary of Results from Implementing Direct Me	asures of Student L	.earning:
1 Comprehensive Capstone and Defense		
• Percentage of students achieving a subscore of 8	3% or higher	
Diagnostic skills (Core ISLO 1)	75.76 %	
Analytical skills (Core ISLO 1)	81.82 %	
Writing Skills (Core ISLO 2)	72.73 %	
Conceptual skills skills (Core ISLO 2)	84.85 %	
Understanding of Mgt functions (Core ISLO 3)	84.85 %	
2 Comprehensive case Percentage of students achieving a subscore of 8	33% or higher	
Diagnostic skills (Core ISLO 1)	95 %	
Analytical skills (Core ISLO 1)	95 %	
Writing Skills (Core ISLO 2)	95 %	
Conceptual skills skills (Core ISLO 2)	95 %	
Understanding of Mgt functions (Core ISLO 3)	<mark>95 %</mark>	
3 Subset score: IB		
• BUSINESS POLICY & STRATEGY: 14.29 %		

INTERNATIONAL MARKETING: 36.36 9	%							
4 Subset Score: Global Finance								
· ADVANCED FINANCE: 16.67 %								
5 Subset Score: Comm & PR								
MASS MEDIA PLANNING & MEDIA LA	W: 0 % The co	orrect measur	ement tool wa	as not applied				
Summary of Results from Implementing	g Indirect Mea	sures of Stud	ent Learning:					
1 Exit Survey								
· Percentage of students grading "Good	d" and "Excelle	ent"						
Application of theory to practice (Cor	e ISLO 1)	37.50 %						
Analytical skills (Core ISLO 2)		70.00 %						
Oral Skills (Core ISLO 3)		90.00 %						
Written skills (Core ISLO 3)		80.00 %						
Diagnostic Skills (Core ISLO 4)		70.00 %						
3 Summary of Results for Indirect Meas	ure 3							
 2 Summary of Results for Indirect Meas 3 Summary of Results for Indirect Meas 4 Summary of Results for Indirect Meas • 	ure 3							
3 Summary of Results for Indirect Meas	ure 3 ure 4	ng Outcomes	:					
 . 3 Summary of Results for Indirect Meas . 4 Summary of Results for Indirect Meas . 	ure 3 ure 4	ng Outcomes		arning Assess	ment Measu	res		
 3 Summary of Results for Indirect Meas 4 Summary of Results for Indirect Meas 5 Summary of Achievement of Intended S Intended Student Learning Outcomes 	ure 3 ure 4	ng Outcomes Direct Measure 2		arning Assess Direct Measure 4	ment Measu Indirect Measure 1	es Indirect Measure 2	Indirect Measure 3	Indirect Measure 4
 3 Summary of Results for Indirect Meas 4 Summary of Results for Indirect Meas 5 Summary of Achievement of Intended S 	ure 3 ure 4 Student Learni Direct	Direct	Le Direct	Direct	Indirect	Indirect		Indirect Measure 4 Performance Target Was
 Summary of Results for Indirect Meas Summary of Results for Indirect Meas Summary of Achievement of Intended S Intended Student Learning Outcomes 	ure 3 ture 4 Student Learni Direct Measure 1 Performance	Direct Measure 2 Performance	Le Direct Measure 3 Performance	Direct Measure 4 Performance	Indirect Measure 1 Performance	Indirect Measure 2 Performance	Measure 3 Performance	Measure 4 Performance

3. Program Learning Outcome 3	Not met	Met						
4. Program Learning Outcome 4	Met	Met						
5. Program Learning Outcome 5	Met	Met						
Intended Student Learning Outcomes:	Direct Measure 1	Direct Measure 2	Direct Measure 3	Direct Measure 4	Indirect Measure 1	Indirect Measure 2	Indirect Measure 3	Indirect Measure 4
International Business	Performance Target Was							
1. Intended Learning Outcome 1	Not Met	Not Met						
2. Intended Learning Outcome 2	Not Met	Not Met						
3. Intended Learning Outcome 3								
Intended Student Learning Outcomes:	Direct Measure 1	Direct Measure 2	Direct Measure 3	Direct Measure 4	Indirect Measure 1	Indirect Measure 2	Indirect Measure 3	Indirect Measure 4
Global Finance	Performance Target Was							
Intended Learning Outcome 1	Not Met	Not Met						
Intended Learning Outcome 2	Not Met	Not Met						
Intended Learning Outcome 3								
Intended Student Learning Outcomes:	Direct Measure 1	Direct Measure 2	Direct Measure 3	Direct Measure 4	Indirect Measure 1	Indirect Measure 2	Indirect Measure 3	Indirect Measure 4
Communications & PR	Performance Target Was							
Intended Learning Outcome 1	N/A							
Intended Learning Outcome 2								
Intended Learning Outcome 3								

Assessment Results: Program 1 with a Major, Concentration, Specialization, Emphasis, Option, or Track in Area 2

Proposed Courses of Action for Improvement in Learning Outcomes for which Performance Targets Were Not Met:

1. The introduction of an Academic Dean with a specific remit to address capstone issues, among other duties, has improved the research project results. This focus will continue and the Research Module reinforced

2. The results for concentrations are exceptionally weak. Review with course lecturers indicate that the measurement indicators were inappropriate and/or mis-applied. This was the first a/y measurement tools were applied, as such, to Master's programs; the measures will be reviewed and additional workshops will be given to ensure the competent use of these tools

3. Reinforcement of student relations through more vigorous use of the Student Board and representatives will be introduced